

WRITING & EVALUATING RECOMMENDATION LETTERS

- A. Identifying eligible students
 - a. GPA>3.75
 - b. Unique qualifications, e.g. research, study abroad
 - c. Encourage students to form relationships with faculty

- B. Mentoring exceptional students
 - a. Substantive experiences
 - b. Publications
 - c. Conference / Presentations

- 1. Decline writing recommendation
 - a. Can't write positive rec.
 - b. Don't know student well

- 2. Preparation / Request the following:
 - a. Application
 - b. Resume
 - c. Deadline
 - d. Essays
 - e. Interview, particularly for long term goals

- 3. Format
 - a. 1-2 pages
 - b. Letterhead vs Form / Consistency
 - c. Confidentiality
 - d. Balanced "team" of letter writers

- 4. Details
 - a. How long, what capacity know student (so reviewer knows accuracy of detail)
 - b. Best among xx students / Top xx %
 - c. Should verify applicant information, especially awards, publications, etc.
 - d. Expand on info in resume
 - i. document activity
 - ii. GPA
 - e. Provide examples and evidence
 - i. Qualitative and Quantitative
 - ii. Concrete / Specific
 - iii. Results / Impact
 - iv. Validate personal statement (may want to critique statement)
 - v. Colleagues' attitudes
 - vi. Awards

- f. Striking the “Right Tone” (Schall)
 - i. After formal introduction, refer to student by first name
 - ii. Narrate a personal interaction
 - iii. Recall first impression of student, then contrast with later impression
 - iv. Present intriguing asides – spontaneous discussions or shared interests
 - v. Describe student’s specific contribution to relationship
 - vi. Include information about career plans
- g. Attributes – depend on purpose – may be specified in application
 - i. Medical school – work independently, organize a complex project, perseverance, flexibility, energetic, integrity, maturity, personality, promise
 - ii. Graduate school – motivated, self-directed, ability to complete projects, potential, communication skills
 - iii. Employment – motivated, self-directed, confident, conflict management, thorough
 - iv. Other – leadership, service
- h. “For more information, please call...” can be interpreted as negative

5. Strategies

- a. Word processing – but *proofread*
- b. Use common paragraphs for same/similar activities
- c. Use active verbs

6. High-stakes awards – require excellent letters of recommendation

- a. Exceptionally strong, written from an informed perspective, and exude a sincere tone.
 - i. Best student in xx years
 - ii. Compared to xx students who have accomplished yy
- b. Uniqueness / what sets student apart
 - i. Undergraduate research
 - ii. Course loads
 - iii. Double major
 - iv. Study abroad
 - v. Internships
- c. Help reviewers know candidate, admire the student
- d. Avoid hyperbole